

## REMARKS

In the Office Action of July 2, 2004, the Examiner has withdrawn from consideration a set of claims (claims 9-20), which Applicant submitted in its communication of March 22, 2004. It was said that claims 9-20 pertain to a non-elected species, namely, the claimed anti-roll suspension system embodied in the front suspension. Applicant respectfully traverses, submitting that front and rear suspensions are within the scope of the generic amended Claim 1. The amendments and remarks herein avoid and/or traverse all grounds of rejection.

### The Species include Front and Rear Suspension

The specification at page 6, lines 10-11 states about Figure 1: "While the axle is illustrated as being a non-drive axle, it may be a driving axle as used on most trucks and many automobiles." Clearly, the specification supports claims directed to front and/or rear suspension.

Corroborating Applicant's position that Figure 1 enables front and/or rear suspensions, the specification recites: "While the illustrated axle is shown as a non-drive axle, the suspension obviously can be utilized on a driven axle such as the rear axle of an automobile or a truck. The axle may also be steerable without affecting the suspension." (At page 8, lines 1-4).

Amended former Claim 1 (presently new claim 21) now being allowable, and as former Claim 2 having been acknowledged to be generic by the Examiner, and the remaining claims submitted herein all being dependent, it is submitted that the claims are directed to species within the scope of the generic amended former Claim 1.

Cancellation of the withdrawal of Claims 9-20 from consideration, as being to non-examined species, and acceptance and allowance of the new claims 21-38 submitted herein is therefore requested.

## FEES

Applicant's non-compliant amendment dated December 28, 2004 included a Petition for a three-month extension and fees due for filing the amendment. It is not believed that any other fees are due with respect to the filing of the amendment herein. However, should any such fees be due, the Patent and Trademark Office is authorized to charge all such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1990.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all grounds of rejection and objection have been avoided and/or traversed. The Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to enter the amendments herein, reconsider and withdraw the rejections and objections and allow new Claims 21-38.

Should the Examiner believe that prosecution of this application might be expedited by further discussion of the issues, a telephone call to the undersigned attorney, collect, at the telephone number listed below, is cordially invited.


**CHANGE OF ADDRESS**

Please note that any further correspondence should be sent to the following address:

Gordon & Rees LLP  
101 West Broadway, Suite 1600  
San Diego, CA 92101

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 12 Sept '05

By:   
Harris F. Brotman  
Registration No. 35,461  
Attorney for Applicant

GORDON & REES, LLP  
101 West Broadway, Suite 1600  
San Diego, California 92101  
Telephone: (619) 696-6700  
Facsimile: (619) 696-7124

Docket No.: BFSCA 1030609